arXiv:1509.08762v1 [math.QA] 29 Sep 2015

COMMUTATION RELATIONS FOR QUANTUM ROOT VECTORS OF COMINUSCOLE PARABOLICS

MARCO MATASSA

Abstract. We prove a result for the commutator of quantum root vectors corresponding to cominuscole parabolics. Specifically we show that, given two quantum root vectors, belonging respectively to the quantized nilradical and the quantized opposite nilradical, their commutator belongs to the quantized Levi factor. This generalizes the classical result for Lie algebras. Recall that the quantum root vectors depend on the reduced decomposition of the longest word of the Weyl group. We show that this result does not hold for all such choices. We conjecture that it holds when the reduced decomposition is appropriately factorized.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to generalize to the quantum setting the following classical result (the relevant definitions will be recalled in the next section). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{p}$ a parabolic subalgebra. Let $\mathfrak{u}_+$, $\mathfrak{u}_-$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ be respectively the nilradical, the opposite nilradical and the Levi factor of $\mathfrak{p}$. Then we have the commutation relations $[\mathfrak{u}_+, \mathfrak{u}_-] \subset \mathfrak{l}$. In particular, this result holds when $\mathfrak{p}$ is of cominuscule type.

Quantized versions of the algebras appearing above can be defined from $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, the quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover we can define quantum root vectors using Lusztig's automorphisms. They depend on the choice of the reduced decomposition of the longest word of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a parabolic subalgebra of cominuscule type. Then there exists a reduced decomposition of the longest word of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

$$[E_{\xi_i}, F_{\xi_j}] \in U_q(\mathfrak{l}), \quad \forall \xi_i, \xi_j \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u}_+).$$

We will also show that this result is not true for all reduced decompositions. Nevertheless, we conjecture that it holds for all reduced decompositions which factor through the longest word of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{l}$. This is formulated in Conjecture 1.

The theorem stated above shows that, in the case of parabolic subalgebras of cominuscole type, a significant part of the classical Lie algebra structure is preserved. This is in line with other results that we now briefly recall, which provide some motivations for this study.

The quotient $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is of cominuscole type, corresponds infinitesimally to an irreducible generalized flag manifold. The quantized versions of these spaces enjoy many properties which are close to their classical counterparts. Important results were obtained by Heckenberger and Kolb in [HeKo04, HeKo06]: they show that these spaces admit a canonical q-analogue of the de Rham complex, with the homogenous components having the same dimensions as in the classical case. The first-order differential calculi coming from this construction can be implemented by commutators with Dirac operators, in the sense of spectral triples, as shown by Krähmer in [Krä04]. In general it is not known, however, if these operators have compact resolvent, which is an important requirement for a spectral triple. This difficulty motivated the subsequent construction of Krähmer and Tucker-Simmons in [KrTu13],

which is seen as a first step towards proving a quantum analogue of the Parthasarathy formula. This classical result expresses the square of the Dirac operator in terms of the Casimir and some constants, which readily allows the computation of its spectrum.

We believe that Theorem 1 provides a contribution towards this goal. However, this result can not be applied straightforwardly. Indeed, in this case what we need are commutation relations between the quantum root vectors E_{ξ_i} and $E^*_{\xi_j}$ (see the discussion in [Mat15], where the case of projective spaces is treated in detail). Here $*$ denotes the involution corresponding to the compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$. It seems likely that Theorem 1 should have a counterpart in this setting, but of course this remains to be proven.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we fix our notations and conventions. In Section 3 we discuss general results on commutation relations and outline our approach to the proof of the theorem. In Section 4 we obtain some results related to the convex ordering of the radical roots. In Section 5 we recall the explicit descriptions of the posets of radical roots. In Section 6 we introduce a specific enumeration of the radical roots. In Section 7 we complete the proof of the theorem. Finally in Section 8 we show that the result does not hold for all choices of reduced decomposition.

2. Notations and conventions

In this section we fix some notations and briefly review some facts about complex simple Lie algebras, parabolic subalgebras and quantized enveloping algebras.

2.1. Parabolic subalgebras.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra with a fixed Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$. We denote by $\Delta(\mathfrak{g})$ the root system, by $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g})$ the positive roots and by $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r\}$ the simple roots. Denote by a_{ij} the entries of the Cartan matrix and by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the usual invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. In particular, in the simply-laced case we have $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = a_{ij}$. Let $S \subset \Pi$ be a subset of the simple roots. Then we set

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{l}) = \mathrm{span}(S) \cap \Delta(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \Delta(\mathfrak{u}_+) = \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}) \backslash \Delta^+(\mathfrak{l}).$$

In terms of these roots we define

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l})} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{u}_\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u}_+)} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_+.$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_\pm$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. We call $\mathfrak{p}$ the *standard parabolic subalgebra* associated to S (and omit S from the notation). The subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ is reductive and is called the *Levi factor* of $\mathfrak{p}$, while $\mathfrak{u}_+$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{p}$ called the *nilradical*. We refer to the roots of $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}_+)$ as the *radical roots*. We have the commutation relations $[\mathfrak{u}_+, \mathfrak{u}_-] \subset \mathfrak{l}$.

The adjoint action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ descends to an action on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$. The decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}_- \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ gives $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathfrak{u}_-$ as $\mathfrak{l}$-modules. We say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is of *cominuscule type* if $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$ is a simple $\mathfrak{p}$-module. The following well-known result readily allows to classify all cominuscule parabolics.

Proposition 2. *A parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ is cominuscule if and only if it corresponds to $S = \Pi \backslash \{\alpha_t\}$, where the simple root α_t appears with multiplicity 1 in the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Moreover, it is clear from this result that all radical roots contain α_t with multiplicity 1. The classification of cominuscole parabolics is reported in Table 1, see for example [ThYo09].

Root system	Dynkin diagram	Nomenclature
A_r		Grassmannian $Gr(k, r)$
B_r		Odd dimensional quadric $\mathbb{Q}^{2r-1}$
C_r		Lagrangian Grassmannian $LG(r, 2r)$
D_r		Even dimensional quadric $\mathbb{Q}^{2r-2}$
D_r		Orthogonal Grassmannian $OG(r + 1, 2r + 2)$
E_6		Cayley plane $\mathbb{OP}^2$
E_7		(Unnamed) $G_\omega(\mathbb{O}^3, \mathbb{O}^6)$

TABLE 1. Classification of cominuscole parabolics. The black node corresponds to the simple root α_t. If there is more than one, then they are equivalent choices.

2.2. **Quantized enveloping algebras.** We briefly review some facts about quantized enveloping algebras. General references for this topic are the books [KlSc], [ChPr] and [Lus]. With the previous conventions for complex simple Lie algebras, let $d_i = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and define $q_i = q^{d_i}$. The *quantized universal enveloping algebra* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by the elements E_i, F_i, K_i, K_i^{-1}, for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and with r the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$, satisfying the relations

$$K_iK_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1}K_i = 1, \quad K_iK_j = K_jK_i,$$
$$K_iE_jK_i^{-1} = q_i^{a_{ij}}E_j, \quad K_iF_jK_i^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{ij}}F_j,$$
$$E_iF_j - F_jE_i = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}},$$

plus the quantum analogue of the Serre relations. The Hopf algebra structure is defined by

$$\Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i, \quad \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i,$$
$$S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}, \quad S(E_i) = -K_i^{-1}E_i, \quad S(F_i) = -F_iK_i, \quad \varepsilon(K_i) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(E_i) = \varepsilon(F_i) = 0.$$

For $q \in \mathbb{R}$, the *compact real form* of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$K_i^* = K_i, \quad E_i^* = K_iF_i, \quad F_i^* = E_iK_i^{-1}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be the Levi factor corresponding to a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by $S \subset \Pi$. Then the quantized enveloping algebra of the Levi factor is defined as

$$U_q(\mathfrak{l}) = \{\text{subalgebra of } U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ generated by } K_i^{\pm 1} \text{ and } E_j, F_j \text{ with } j \in S\}.$$

This definition of the quantized Levi factor appears for example in [StDi99, Section 4].

3. Commutation relations

3.1. **Quantum root vectors.** Fix a reduced decomposition $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ of the longest word of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$. Here s_i is the reflection corresponding to α_i. It is well known that all the positive roots can be obtained as $\beta_k = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$ for $k = 1, \cdots, N$.

Now let T_i be any version of the Lusztig automorphisms (see Remark 1). The quantum root vectors are then defined by $E_{\beta_k} = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(E_{i_k})$ for $k = 1, \cdots, N$. They depend on the choice of the reduced decomposition of w_0. Similarly the quantum root vectors corresponding to the negative roots are defined by $F_{\beta_k} = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(F_{i_k})$ for $k = 1, \cdots, N$.

Remark 1. Lusztig introduces four different automorphisms denoted by $T'_{i,e}$ and $T''_{i,e}$ with $e = \pm 1$, see [Lus, Chapter 37]. Let ω be the automorphism defined by

$$\omega(E_i) = F_i,\ \omega(F_i) = E_i,\ \omega(K_i) = K_i^{-1}.$$

Similarly let σ be the anti-automorphism defined by

$$\sigma(E_i) = E_i,\ \sigma(F_i) = F_i,\ \sigma(K_i) = K_i^{-1}.$$

Then the various automorphisms are related by [Lus, 37.2.4]

$$\omega T'_{i,e} = T''_{i,e}\omega, \quad \sigma T'_{i,e} = T''_{i,-e}\sigma.$$

We will also need the anti-automorphism Ω defined by

$$\Omega(E_i) = F_i,\ \Omega(F_i) = E_i,\ \Omega(K_i) = K_i^{-1},\ \Omega(q) = q^{-1}.$$

It satisfies the property $\Omega T_i = T_i \Omega$. Therefore we can also write $F_{\beta_k} = \Omega(E_{\beta_k})$.

We will use the notation $A = (a_1, \cdots, a_N)$ and define

$$E^A = E_{\beta_1}^{a_1} \cdots E_{\beta_N}^{a_N}, \quad F^A = \Omega(E^A) = F_{\beta_N}^{a_N} \cdots F_{\beta_1}^{a_1}.$$

Similarly if $\lambda = c_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + c_r\alpha_r$ is an element of the root lattice we will write

$$K_\lambda = K_1^{c_1} \cdots K_r^{c_r}.$$

3.2. **Commutation relations.** Having defined quantum root vectors, we can now discuss their commutation relations. We will be interested in the case of quantum root vectors corresponding to radical roots. Before going into that, we give a brief list of references for general results on commutation relations. In the case of two quantum root vectors E_{β_i} and E_{β_j}, commutation relations were derived in [LeSo91] (see also the proof in [DCPr]). A version of this formula appears also in [Xi99]. In this reference we also find a formula for the commutator $[E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_j}]$. This formula will be recalled below and will be our main tool.

Remark 2. The commutation relations of [LeSo91] can be used to show that the algebra generated by the quantum root vectors $\{E_{\xi_i}\}$ is quadratic (at least when w_0 is factorized). See [KrTu13, Remark 3.18] and [Zwi09, Section 5]. For this reason we will not consider these commutation relations, but focus instead on the case $[E_{\xi_i}, F_{\xi_j}]$.

In the next proposition we will derive some simple results for commutators in relation to $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$, the quantized enveloping algebra of the Levi factor. At this stage we are not assuming yet that the parabolic subalgebra is of cominuscole type.

Proposition 3. *For any positive root β_i we have $[E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_i}] \in U_q(\mathfrak{l})$. Moreover let β_i, β_j be positive roots. If $[E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_j}] \in U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ then we also have $[E_{\beta_j}, F_{\beta_i}] \in U_q(\mathfrak{l})$.*

Proof. For the first part, using the defining relations of the algebra we get

$$[E_{\beta_k}, F_{\beta_k}] = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}([E_k, F_k]) = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(\frac{K_k - K_k^{-1}}{q_k - q_k^{-1}}).$$

The automorphism T_w maps the element K_λ to $K_{w(\lambda)}$ by the action of the Weyl group. Since the space $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ contains all the generators K_λ we obtain the result.

For the second part suppose that $[E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_j}] \in U_q(\mathfrak{l})$. Using the properties of the anti-automorphism Ω we compute

$$\Omega([E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_j}]) = [\Omega(F_{\beta_j}), \Omega(E_{\beta_i})] = [E_{\beta_j}, F_{\beta_i}].$$

Since Ω maps $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ into itself we obtain the conclusion. □

Therefore to prove Theorem 1 we only need to consider the case $[E_{\xi_i}, F_{\xi_j}]$ with $i > j$. To proceed we need to recall the formula of [Xi99, Lemma 3.2]. In this result the quantum root vectors are defined using $T'_{i,-1}$ (but for our purposes this is irrelevant).

Theorem 4 ([Xi99])**.** *Let $i > j$. Then we have*

$$[E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_j}] = \sum_{A,\lambda,B} \sigma(A, \lambda, B) F^A K_\lambda E^B,$$

where the sums over A, λ, B contain only a finite number of terms. Moreover if $\sigma(A, \lambda, B) \neq 0$ then we have $a_j = \cdots = a_N = 0$ and $b_1 = \cdots = b_i = 0$.

More explicitely this can be rewritten as

$$[E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_j}] = \sum_{\substack{a_1, \cdots, a_{j-1} \\ b_{i+1}, \cdots, b_N}} \sum_{\lambda} \sigma(A, \lambda, B) F_{\beta_{j-1}}^{a_{j-1}} \cdots F_{\beta_1}^{a_1} K_\lambda E_{\beta_{i+1}}^{b_{i+1}} \cdots E_{\beta_N}^{b_N}. \tag{3.1}$$

Recall that the elements of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are graded by the root lattice. Using this grading, we obtain further conditions for the terms appearing on the RHS. We must have

$$\beta_i - \beta_j = \sum_{k=i+1}^{N} b_k \beta_k - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_k \beta_k.$$

Let us specialize this to the case of radical roots, which we denote by ξ. Then we write

$$\xi_i - \xi_j = \sum_{\xi > \xi_i} c_\xi \xi - \sum_{\xi < \xi_j} c_\xi \xi + \gamma, \tag{3.2}$$

where γ contains the sum over the non-radical roots and we have relabeled the various coefficients. At this stage the coefficients of the terms appearing in γ can have both signs. Later on we will consider particular orders such that γ will contain only positive terms.

We now come to the main point. Suppose that if (3.2) holds then we have $c_\xi = 0$ for all $\xi > \xi_i$ and $\xi < \xi_j$. In other words, we only have non-radical roots on the RHS of the equality. Then we have $[E_{\xi_i}, F_{\xi_j}] \in U_q(\mathfrak{l})$. Indeed the condition $c_\xi = 0$ implies that there are no quantum root vectors E_{ξ_k} or F_{ξ_k} appearing on the RHS of (3.1). Using the definition of the quantized enveloping algebra of the Levi factor $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$, the conclusion is immediate.

Remark 3. This statement is independent of the chosen version of the automorphisms T_i defining the quantum root vectors. Indeed, using the notation of Remark 1, we see that $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ is stable under the action of ω and σ. This follows immediately from its definition.

Therefore we are led to study the following problem.

Problem 1. Let $i > j$ and consider two radical roots ξ_i and ξ_j. Suppose that

$$\xi_i - \xi_j = \sum_{\xi > \xi_i} c_\xi \xi - \sum_{\xi < \xi_j} c_\xi \xi + \gamma,$$

where γ contains the sum over the non-radical roots (notice that this depends on the choice of the order $<$). Does this imply that $c_\xi = 0$ for all $\xi > \xi_i$ and $\xi < \xi_j$?

Clearly the answer to this problem can depend on the choice of the order. Observe that, if Problem 1 has a positive answer for all $i > j$, then it provides a proof of Theorem 1, as pointed out above. For this reason we will now focus on this problem.

4. Convex and partial orders

4.1. **Convex orders.** The choice of a reduced expression for the longest word of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ gives a total order on the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. This is simply given by $\beta_i < \beta_j$ if $i < j$. Not all total orders can be realized in this way. It is known that they are characterized by the following condition [Pap94]: if $\alpha,\ \beta$ are positive roots with $\alpha < \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then we have $\alpha < \alpha + \beta < \beta$. Such an order is usually called a *convex order*. Therefore choosing a reduced decomposition is the same as choosing such an order.

As mentioned in the introduction, the main result that we want to prove does not hold for any reduced decomposition. For this reason we will focus on reduced decompositions of a certain type, namely those which can be factorized in terms of the longest word of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{l}$, the Levi factor. Therefore we will consider the case in which $\xi < \alpha$, for all radical roots ξ and all non-radical roots α. The case $\xi > \alpha$ can be straightforwardly derived by reversing the ordering. For this reason we will focus on the $\xi < \alpha$ case.

Recall that on the positive roots there is a naturally defined *partial order*: we have $\alpha \succ \beta$ if $\alpha - \beta$ is a non-negative linear combination of simple roots. In the next lemma we will show that any convex order as above will be compatible with the partial order.

Lemma 5. *Let $<$ be an ordering of the positive roots. Suppose that:*

- *the order $<$ is convex on the non-radical roots,*
- *$\xi < \alpha$ for any radical root ξ and non-radical root α.*

Then $<$ is a convex order if and only if $\xi \prec \xi'$ implies $\xi < \xi'$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) It will be sufficient to consider the case when $\xi' - \xi = \alpha_k$ for some simple root α_k. Indeed the general case will follow by iterating this elementary one, since any positive root can be obtained from a positive root of lower height by adding a simple root. Now suppose that $<$ is a convex order. Using our assumption that $\xi < \alpha$ and the convex ordering condition we have $\xi < \xi + \alpha_k < \alpha_k$. Since $\xi' = \xi + \alpha_k$ it follows that $\xi < \xi'$.

($\Leftarrow$) We need to check the convex ordering conditions. Since any positive root is either non-radical or radical we have three cases: $\alpha + \alpha'$, $\xi + \xi'$ and $\xi + \alpha$, where $\alpha,\ \alpha'$ are non-radical roots and $\xi,\ \xi'$ are radical roots. Convex ordering for the case $\alpha + \alpha'$ holds by assumption. For the case $\xi + \xi'$ we observe that this is never a root: indeed all radical roots contain α_t with multiplicity 1, including the highest root, while the sum would have multiplicity 2. Finally consider the case $\xi + \alpha$ and suppose that it is a root. Then it is a radical root and we have $\xi \prec \xi + \alpha$. Then our assumptions guarantee that $\xi < \xi + \alpha < \alpha$ holds. □

The upshot is that we are free to choose any order on the radical roots, as long as it is compatible with the partial order, in the sense that $\xi \prec \xi'$ implies $\xi < \xi'$. From this point on we will consider such a convex order, unless otherwise specified.

4.2. **Some lemmata.** We now return to Problem 1. Therefore let $i > j$ and suppose that for some radical roots ξ_i and ξ_j we have an equality of the form

$$\xi_i - \xi_j = \sum_{\xi > \xi_i} c_\xi \xi - \sum_{\xi < \xi_j} c_\xi \xi + \gamma.$$

Observe that, with the order as above, the term γ is a positive linear combination of simple roots. This is because $\xi < \alpha$, so that non-radical roots can appear only with positive sign.

In the next lemma we will show that many terms in the sums, which are in principle allowed by the chosen order, can not appear if the equality holds.

Lemma 6. *If $\xi' \succ \xi_i$ then $c_{\xi'} = 0$. Similarly if $\xi' \prec \xi_j$ then $c_{\xi'} = 0$.*

Proof. The condition $\xi' \succ \xi_i$ implies $\xi' > \xi_i$. Write the relevant equation in the form

$$(1 - c_{\xi'})\xi' = \xi' - \xi_i + \xi_j + \sum_{\xi > \xi_i, \xi \neq \xi'} c_\xi \xi - \sum_{\xi < \xi_j} c_\xi \xi + \gamma.$$

It is possible to find an element of the Weyl group w such that $w(\xi_k) < 0$ for $k < j$ and $w(\xi_k) > 0$ for $k \geq j$, see [Hum, Section 1.7]. Since $\xi' \succ \xi_i$ we have that $\xi' - \xi_i$ is a non-negative combination of simple roots. If we apply w we find that the RHS is positive. On the other hand the LHS is positive only for $c_{\xi'} = 0$, which gives the conclusion.

The second case is similar. The condition $\xi' \prec \xi_j$ implies $\xi' < \xi_j$. Then write

$$(-1 + c_{\xi'})\xi' = -\xi_i + \xi_j - \xi' - \sum_{\xi < \xi_j, \xi \neq \xi'} c_\xi \xi + \sum_{\xi > \xi_i} c_\xi \xi + \gamma.$$

We can find w such that $w(\xi_k) < 0$ for $k \leq i$ and $w(\xi_k) > 0$ for $k > i$. Since $\xi_j \succ \xi'$ we have that $\xi_j - \xi'$ is a non-negative combination of simple roots. If we apply w we find that the RHS is positive. On the other hand the LHS is positive only for $c_{\xi'} = 0$. □

As a consequence, we only need to consider terms which are not comparable to ξ_i and ξ_j (with respect to the partial order). We define the two sets

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \{\xi > \xi_i : \xi \not\succ \xi_i\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_j = \{\xi < \xi_j : \xi \not\prec \xi_j\}.$$

Then we can rewrite our original equality in the form

$$\xi_i - \xi_j = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_i} c_\xi \xi - \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}_j} c_\xi \xi + \gamma.$$

In the next lemma we show that, provided that we can find two positive roots ξ_S and ξ_B satisfying certain properties, we have a positive answer for Problem 1.

Lemma 7. *Let $i > j$. Suppose there exist two positive roots ξ_S and ξ_B such that $\xi_S \preceq \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_i$ and $\xi_B \succeq \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{S}_j$. Consider the differences*

$$\xi_i - \xi_j = \sum_k a_k \alpha_k, \quad \xi_S - \xi_B = \sum_k b_k \alpha_k, \quad a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Suppose there exists some index m such that $a_m < b_m$. Then it follows that $c_\xi = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_i$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{S}_j$. In this case Problem 1 has a positive answer.

Proof. Denote by α_t the simple root which defines the cominuscule parabolic. Recall that all radical roots contain α_t with multiplicity 1, while non-radical roots do not contain it. Then $\xi_i - \xi_j$ does not contain α_t, which implies the equality

$$C = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_i} c_\xi = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}_j} c_\xi.$$

Using this fact we rewrite the identity in the form

$$\xi_i - \xi_j = C(\xi_S - \xi_B) + \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_i} c_\xi(\xi - \xi_S) + \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}_j} c_\xi(\xi_B - \xi) + \gamma.$$

Since $\xi_S \preceq \xi$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_i$ and $\xi_B \succeq \xi$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{S}_j$, the terms $\xi - \xi_S$ and $\xi_B - \xi$ are non-negative. Also recall that the term γ is a non-negative linear combination of simple roots. Now observe that on the LHS the root α_m appears with coefficient a_m, while on the RHS it appears with coefficient greater or equal than b_m, provided that $C > 0$. Since by assumption we have $a_m < b_m$ we cannot have equality for any $C > 0$. □

To make use of this lemma we will have to study the various posets of radical roots.

5. Posets of radical roots

In this section we describe in detail the posets of radical roots corresponding to cominuscule parabolics. The shapes of the posets can be found for example in [ThYo09]. For us it will also be important to know which simple roots connect the various radical roots.

5.1. A_r **series.** The positive roots of A_r are given by $\alpha_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j} \alpha_k$ with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$. The poset of the positive roots is completely described by the relations

$$\alpha_{i,j} - \alpha_{i+1,j} = \alpha_i, \quad \alpha_{i,j} - \alpha_{i,j-1} = \alpha_j.$$

The cominuscule parabolics are obtained by removing any node α_t with $1 \leq t \leq r$. An illustration of one of these posets is provided in Figure 5.1.



FIGURE 5.1. Poset for the A_r series with $r = 5$ and $t = 2$.

5.2. B_r **series.** The positive roots of B_r are given by

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j} \alpha_k, \qquad 1 \le i \le j \le r,$$
$$\alpha'_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \alpha_k + \sum_{k=j}^{r} 2\alpha_k, \quad 1 \le i < j \le r.$$

The radical roots contain α_1 with multiplicity 1. These are

$$\{\alpha_{1,1}, \cdots, \alpha_{1,r}\}, \ \{\alpha'_{1,r}, \cdots, \alpha'_{1,2}\}.$$

The poset is completely described by the following relations

$$\alpha_{1,j} - \alpha_{1,j-1} = \alpha_j, \quad \alpha'_{1,j} - \alpha'_{1,j+1} = \alpha_j, \quad \alpha'_{1,r} - \alpha_{1,r} = \alpha_r.$$

An illustration of these posets is provided in Figure 5.2.



FIGURE 5.2. Poset for the B_r series with $r = 3$.

5.3. C_n **series.** The positive roots of C_r are given by

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j} \alpha_k, \qquad 1 \le i \le j \le r,$$
$$\alpha'_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \alpha_k + \sum_{k=j}^{r-1} 2\alpha_k + \alpha_r, \quad 1 \le i < j \le r-1.$$

The radical roots contain α_r with multiplicity 1. These are

$$\{\alpha_{r,r}, \cdots, \alpha_{1,r}\}, \ \{\alpha'_{r-1,r-1}, \cdots, \alpha'_{1,r-1}\}, \ \cdots, \{\alpha'_{2,2}, \alpha'_{1,2}\}, \ \{\alpha'_{1,1}\}.$$

The poset is completely described by the following relations

$$\alpha'_{i,j} - \alpha'_{i+1,j} = \alpha_i, \quad \alpha'_{i,j} - \alpha'_{i,j+1} = \alpha_j, \quad \alpha'_{i,r-1} - \alpha_{i,r} = \alpha_{r-1}.$$

An illustration of these posets is provided in Figure 5.3.



FIGURE 5.3. Poset for the C_r series with $r = 5$.

5.4. D_n **series (first case).** The positive roots of D_r are given by

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j} \alpha_k, \qquad 1 \le i \le j \le r-1,$$
$$\alpha'_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \alpha_k + \sum_{k=j}^{r-2} 2\alpha_k + \alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_r, \quad 1 \le i < j \le r-1,$$
$$\alpha'_i = \sum_{k=i}^{r-2} \alpha_k + \alpha_r, \qquad 1 \le i \le r-1.$$

The radical roots contain α_1 with multiplicity 1. These are

$$\{\alpha_{1,1}, \cdots \alpha_{1,r-1}\},\ \{\alpha'_1\},\ \{\alpha'_{1,r-1}, \cdots, \alpha'_{1,2}\}.$$

The poset is completely described by the following relations

$$\alpha_{1,j} - \alpha_{1,j-1} = \alpha_j,\ \alpha'_{1,j} - \alpha'_{1,j+1} = \alpha_j,$$
$$\alpha'_1 - \alpha_{1,r-2} = \alpha_r,\ \alpha'_{i,r-1} - \alpha_{1,r-1} = \alpha_r,\ \alpha'_{1,r-1} - \alpha'_1 = \alpha_{r-1}.$$

An illustration of these posets is provided in Figure 5.4.



FIGURE 5.4. Poset for the D_r series (first case) with $r = 5$.

5.5. D_n **series (second case).** We keep the same notation as in the first case. This time the radical roots contain α_r with multiplicity 1. These are

$$\{\alpha'_{r-1},\cdots,\alpha'_1\},\ \{\alpha'_{r-2,r-1},\cdots,\alpha'_{1,r-1}\},\ \cdots,\{\alpha'_{2,3},\alpha'_{1,3}\},\ \{\alpha'_{1,2}\}.$$

The poset is completely described by the following relations

$$\alpha'_{i,j}-\alpha'_{i+1,j}=\alpha_i,\quad \alpha'_{i,j}-\alpha'_{i,j+1}=\alpha_j,\quad \alpha'_{i,r-1}-\alpha'_i=\alpha_{r-1}.$$

An illustration of these posets is provided in Figure 5.5.



FIGURE 5.5. Poset for the D_r series (second case) with $r=6$.

5.6. E_6 **case.** In this case we will only write the radical roots. These contain α_5 with multiplicity 1. We will use the notation $\alpha_{i,j}=\sum_{k=i}^{j}\alpha_k$ as in the other cases. We have

$$\xi_1=\alpha_{5,5},\ \xi_2=\alpha_{4,5},\ \xi_3=\alpha_{3,5},\ \xi_4=\alpha_{2,5},\ \xi_5=\alpha_{1,5},\ \xi_6=\alpha_{3,6},\ \xi_7=\alpha_{2,6},\ \xi_8=\alpha_{1,6},$$
$$\xi_9=(0,1,2,1,1,1),\ \xi_{10}=(1,1,2,1,1,1),\ \xi_{11}=(1,2,2,1,1,1),\ \xi_{12}=(0,1,2,2,1,1),$$
$$\xi_{13}=(1,1,2,2,1,1),\ \xi_{14}=(1,2,2,2,1,1),\ \xi_{15}=(1,2,3,2,1,1),\ \xi_{16}=(1,2,3,2,1,2).$$

The relations between these roots are depicted in the poset in Figure 5.6.



FIGURE 5.6. Poset for the E_6 case.

5.7. E_7 **case.** We keep the same setup and notation as in the E_6 case. This time the radical roots contain α_6 with multiplicity 1. They are given by

$$\xi_1 = \alpha_{6,6},\ \xi_2 = \alpha_{5,6},\ \xi_3 = \alpha_{4,6},\ \xi_4 = \alpha_{3,6},\ \xi_5 = \alpha_{2,6},$$
$$\xi_6 = \alpha_{1,6},\ \xi_7 = \alpha_{3,7},\ \xi_8 = \alpha_{2,7},\ \xi_9 = \alpha_{1,7},$$
$$\xi_{10} = (0,1,2,1,1,1,1),\ \xi_{11} = (1,1,2,1,1,1,1),\ \xi_{12} = (1,2,2,1,1,1,1),$$
$$\xi_{13} = (0,1,2,2,1,1,1),\ \xi_{14} = (1,1,2,2,1,1,1),\ \xi_{15} = (1,2,2,2,1,1,1),$$
$$\xi_{16} = (1,2,3,2,1,1,1),\ \xi_{17} = (1,2,3,2,1,1,2),\ \xi_{18} = (0,1,2,2,2,1,1),$$
$$\xi_{19} = (1,1,2,2,2,1,1),\ \xi_{20} = (1,2,2,2,2,1,1),\ \xi_{21} = (1,2,3,2,2,1,1),$$
$$\xi_{22} = (1,2,3,2,2,1,2),\ \xi_{23} = (1,2,3,3,2,1,1),\ \xi_{24} = (1,2,3,3,2,1,2),$$
$$\xi_{25} = (1,2,4,3,2,1,2),\ \xi_{26} = (1,3,4,3,2,1,2),\ \xi_{27} = (2,3,4,3,2,1,2).$$

The relations between these roots are depicted in the poset in Figure 5.6.



FIGURE 5.7. Poset for the E_7 case.

6. Enumeration of the posets

In this section we will give a uniform construction of the posets of radical roots. This setup will allow us to easily check certain properties that they satisfy.

6.1. **Construction.** The construction is as follows. Start with a box of size $A \times B$ and vertices $\{v_{i,j}\}$ with $1 \leq i \leq A$ and $1 \leq j \leq B$ and edges connecting $v_{i,j}$ to $v_{i+1,j}$ and $v_{i,j+1}$. We require that the label of the edge connecting $v_{i,j}$ to $v_{i+1,j}$ depends only on i and we denote it by a_i. Similarly the label of the edge connecting $v_{i,j}$ to $v_{i,j+1}$ depends only on j and we denote it by d_j. Here the letters a_i and d_j mean anti-diagonal and diagonal, respectively. An illustration of this setup is given in Figure 6.1. From this starting configuration we are allowed to remove some vertices and the corresponding edges. Comparison with our previous explicit description shows that all the posets of radical roots can be realized in this way (for certain choices of vertices to be removed). Of course not all graphs obtained in this way correspond to posets of radical roots. This will not be important in the following.

The poset structure is obtained in the following way. We assigning to each vertex $v_{i,j}$ a radical root $\xi(v_{i,j})$ and to the labels a_i and d_j the simple roots such that

$$\xi(v_{i+1,j}) - \xi(v_{i,j}) = a_i, \quad \xi(v_{i,j+1}) - \xi(v_{i,j}) = d_j.$$



FIGURE 6.1. Left: a starting box of size 3×4. Right: one of the posets realized in this way (corresponding for example to the C_r series).

Observe that in this construction the radical root α_t corresponds to the vertex $v_{1,1}$, while the highest root (which is always a radical root) corresponds to the vertex $v_{A,B}$.

This specific enumeration of the vertices gives a simple way to tell when two radical roots are comparable: indeed, we have that $\xi(v_{a,b}) \preceq \xi(v_{c,d})$ if and only if $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$. In all other cases the two radical roots are not comparable. This claim easily follows from the rules given previously defining the labels $\{a_i\}$ and $\{d_j\}$.

Notation 1. Let $F(i)$ be the number such that $v_{i,F(i)}$ is the *first* element along the diagonal i. Similarly let $L(i)$ be the number such that $v_{i,L(i)}$ is the *last* element along the diagonal i.

These functions are needed to express some further properties of the posets in this realization. They can be obtained by inspection of the corresponding posets or by general arguments related to root systems. The properties that we need are the following.

- For every diagonal i we have all the vertices $\{v_{i,j}\}$ with $F(i) \leq j \leq L(i)$.
- We have $F(i) \leq F(k)$ for $i < k$. Otherwise there would be a positive root which could not be obtained from a root of lower height by adding a simple root.
- We have $L(i) \leq L(k)$ for $i < k$. Otherwise there would be a positive root which could not be obtained by subtracting simple roots from the highest root.



FIGURE 6.2. Illustration of the forbidden configurations.

6.2. **Another property of the posets.** In this subsection we describe one less obvious property satisfied by the posets of radical roots. Although it is not apparent at this stage why we would need such a property, it will become clear later on.

Recall that along the diagonal i we have the vertices $\{v_{i,F(i)}, \cdots, v_{i,L(i)}\}$. These are connected by the labels $\{d_{F(i)}, \cdots, d_{L(i)-1}\}$ via the relations $\xi(v_{i,j+1}) - \xi(v_{i,j}) = d_j$. Also recall

that for any i we have $L(i) \leq L(i+1)$. We define the "line" L_i by

$$L_i = \{d_{F(i+1)}, \cdots, d_{L(i)-1}\}.$$

It is a subset of all the labels which occur along the diagonal $i+1$ (not the diagonal i, as the notation might suggest). This definition is better understood by looking at Figure 6.3.



FIGURE 6.3. In red the vertex $v_{i,L(i)}$, in green the vertices connected by L_i.

It is not difficult to see that the label a_i can *not* appear in L_i. If this were the case, we would have a root on the diagonal $i+1$ identical to a root on the diagonal i, which is impossible.

The question is then whether the label a_i can appear in the line L_j for $i \neq j$. To answer this question we write down explicitely, in the following list, the values of a_i in increasing order and the corresponding sets L_i for all the posets.

- For the A_r series the values of a_i are given by $\{\alpha_{t+1}, \cdots, \alpha_r\}$. For all of them the corresponding set is $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t\}$.
- For the B_r series we only have one diagonal, so there is nothing to check.
- For the C_r series the values of a_i are given by $\{\alpha_{r-1}, \cdots, \alpha_1\}$. Corresponding to α_k we have the set $\{\alpha_{k+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r-1}\}$, which is empty for α_{r-1}.
- For the D_r series (first case) we only have two diagonals, so there is nothing to check.
- For the D_r series (second case) the values of a_i are given by $\{\alpha_{r-2}, \cdots, \alpha_1\}$. Corresponding to α_k we have the set $\{\alpha_{k+2}, \cdots, \alpha_{r-1}\}$, which is empty for α_{r-2}.
- The E_6 and E_7 cases are irregular. We list them in the tables below, with E_6 to the left and E_7 to the right. We also skip values a_i such that L_i is empty.

a_i	L_i
α_6	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$
α_3	$\{\alpha_1\}$
α_4	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$

a_i	L_i
α_7	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$
α_3	$\{\alpha_1\}$
α_4	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$
α_5	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_7\}$
α_4	$\{\alpha_7\}$

Lemma 8. *Suppose that $a_i \in L_j$ for some i and j. Then we must have $i < j$.*

Proof. This is easily checked using the list given above. □

Remark 4. Notice that Lemma 8 is not true if, instead of L_i, we consider all the labels along the diagonal $i+1$. This can be seen for example in the poset of the C_r series.

Remark 5. For the cases A_r, C_r and D_r it is possible to give a more conceptual proof of Lemma 8 using the fact that $F(i) = 1$ for all i. However we were not able to find such a proof for the irregular cases E_6 and E_7, hence the explicit description.

7. The main proof

Recall that with our enumeration of the vertices we have $\xi(v_{a,b}) \preceq \xi(v_{c,d})$ if and only if $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$. All the other cases are not comparable. We now fix a specific total order which is compatible with this partial order, as discussed in Section 4.

Definition 1. We define the total order $<$ by $\xi(v_{a,b}) < \xi(v_{c,d})$ if $a < c$ or $a = c$ and $b < d$.

In Figure 5.6 and Figure 5.7 one can see how this total order looks graphically, for the posets of E_6 and E_7. In the next lemma we associate two radical roots ξ_S and ξ_B to any radical root ξ. The subscripts correspond to "small" and "big", respectively.

Lemma 9. *Let $\xi = \xi(v_{a,b})$ with $1 < a < A$ and define the radical roots $\xi_S = \xi(v_{a+1,F(a+1)})$ and $\xi_B = \xi(v_{a-1,L(a-1)})$. They satisfy the following properties:*

- *$\xi_S \preceq \xi'$ for any ξ' such that $\xi' > \xi$ and $\xi' \not\succ \xi$,*
- *$\xi_B \succeq \xi'$ for any ξ' such that $\xi' < \xi$ and $\xi' \not\prec \xi$.*

Proof. Let $\xi' = \xi(v_{c,d})$ be such that $\xi' > \xi$. First consider the case $a = c$ and $b < d$. It follows that $\xi(v_{a,b}) \prec \xi(v_{a,d})$, so that we need not consider this case. Now consider the case $a < c$. Recall that $F(a) < F(c)$ if $a < c$. Then we have $\xi(v_{a+1,F(a+1)}) \preceq \xi(v_{c,d})$.

Similarly let $\xi' = \xi(v_{c,d})$ be such that $\xi' < \xi$. First consider the case $a = c$ and $b > d$. It follows that $\xi(v_{a,b}) \succ \xi(v_{a,d})$, so that we need not consider this case. Now consider the case $a > c$. Recall that $L(a) > L(c)$ if $a > c$. Then we have $\xi(v_{a-1,L(a-1)}) \succeq \xi(v_{c,d})$. □

The roots ξ_S and ξ_B are depicted in Figure 7.1. This figure also illustrates the strategy of the proof of the next proposition, which provides an answer to Problem 1.



Figure 7.1. We associate ξ_S to ξ_i and ξ_B to ξ_j (connected by red lines).

Proposition 10. *Let $i > j$. Suppose we have the equality*

$$\xi_i - \xi_j = \sum_{\xi > \xi_i} c_\xi \xi - \sum_{\xi < \xi_j} c_\xi \xi + \gamma.$$

Then necessarily we must have $c_\xi = 0$ for all ξ.

Proof. According to our setup, we must have $\xi_i = \xi(v_{a,b})$ and $\xi_j = \xi(v_{c,d})$ with $a > c$ or $a = c$ and $b > d$. We can get rid of all ξ such that $\xi \succ \xi_i$ and all ξ such that $\xi \prec \xi_j$, using Lemma 6. Notice that, in the first case, we can get rid of the roots $\xi(v_{a,k})$ with $k > b$, while, in the second case, we can get rid of the roots $\xi(v_{c,k})$ with $k < d$. This takes care of the cases where either ξ_i is on the last diagonal or ξ_j is on the first diagonal. Indeed, in both cases one of the two sums is empty, which in turn implies that no radical roots can appear.

Now we consider the general case. To the root $\xi_i = \xi(v_{a,b})$ we associate $\xi_S = \xi(v_{a+1,F(a+1)})$, while to the root $\xi_j = \xi(v_{c,d})$ we associate $\xi_B = \xi(v_{c-1,L(c-1)})$. Consider the equality

$$\xi_S - \xi_B = (\xi_i - \xi_j) + (\xi_S - \xi_i) + (\xi_j - \xi_B).$$

We want to show that the sum $(\xi_S - \xi_i) + (\xi_j - \xi_B)$ contains at least one simple root with positive coefficient. If this is the case, then we can apply Lemma 7 to finish the proof. Indeed, the radical roots ξ_S and ξ_B would satisfy all the assumptions of that lemma.

We can reduce this check to some special cases. Let us define $\chi_B = \xi(v_{a,L(a)})$ and $\chi_S = \xi(v_{c,F(c)})$. Then we rewrite the previous equality as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_S - \xi_B = (\xi_i - \xi_j) + (\xi_S - \chi_B) + (\chi_S - \xi_B)\\ + (\chi_B - \xi_i) + (\xi_j - \chi_S).\end{aligned}$$

It is immediate to see that $\chi_B \succeq \xi_i$ and $\xi_j \succeq \chi_S$. Therefore it is enough to check that $(\xi_S - \chi_B) + (\chi_S - \xi_B)$ contains at least one simple root with positive coefficient.

To proceed we write down explicitely the two differences

$$\xi(v_{a+1,F(a+1)}) - \xi(v_{a,L(a)}) = a_a - \sum_{k=F(a+1)}^{L(a)-1} d_k,$$

$$\xi(v_{c,F(c)}) - \xi(v_{c-1,L(c-1)}) = a_{c-1} - \sum_{k=F(c)}^{L(c-1)-1} d_k.$$

We can rewrite these in terms of the sets L_i introduced previously as

$$\xi_S - \chi_B = a_a - \sum_{\alpha \in L_a} \alpha, \quad \chi_S - \xi_B = a_{c-1} - \sum_{\alpha \in L_{c-1}} \alpha.$$

Using Lemma 8 we have that $a_i \in L_j$ implies $i < j$. Since we have $a \geq c$, it follows that a_a does not belong to the sets L_a and L_{c-1}. Therefore the simple root a_a appears in the sum $(\xi_S - \chi_B) + (\chi_S - \xi_B)$ with positive coefficient. This concludes the proof.

□

Therefore, for the particular order that we have chosen in this section, we have a positive answer to Problem 1 for all $i > j$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

8. Dependence on the reduced decomposition

In this section we show that Theorem 1 does not hold for all choices of reduced decomposition. We present a simple counterexample for the cominuscole parabolic corresponding to C_3. Many other similar counterexamples can be easily exhibited.

Proposition 11. *Theorem 1 does not hold for all reduced decompositions.*

Proof. Consider the cominuscole parabolic corresponding to C_3. It is defined by the simple root $\alpha_t = \alpha_3$. Let us choose the reduced decomposition

$$w_0 = s_3(s_2s_3s_2)(s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1).$$

Correspondingly, we have the convex order on the positive roots given by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_3 < \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\\ < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < \alpha_1.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for this particular order, we do not have the property $\xi < \alpha$ for all radical roots ξ and non-radical roots α. Focusing on the radical roots, we have the enumeration

$$\xi_1 = \alpha_3,\ \xi_2 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3,\ \xi_3 = 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3,\ \xi_4 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3,$$
$$\xi_5 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3,\ \xi_6 = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3.$$

Then we simply need to observe that we have

$$\xi_4 - \xi_2 = \xi_5 - \xi_1.$$

Therefore Problem 1 has a negative answer in this case. Moreover, it can be checked by explicit computations that Theorem 1 does not hold for this choice. □

The example described above is depicted in Figure 8.1.



FIGURE 8.1. The counterexample illustrated: to the left the poset of radical roots, to the right its numbering according to the chosen total order.

As mentioned during the proof, for this example the property $\xi < \alpha$ does not hold for all radical roots ξ and non-radical roots α. Recall that we assumed this property in the setting of Section 4. For this reason we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Problem 1 has a positive answer if w_0, the longest word of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$, is factorized as $w_0 = w' w_{0,\mathfrak{l}}$ or similarly as $w_0 = w_{0,\mathfrak{l}} w''$, for some w' and w''. Here $w_{0,\mathfrak{l}}$ is the longest word of the Weyl group of (the semisimple part of) the Levi factor $\mathfrak{l}$.

Acknowledgements. I am supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Grant Agreement no. 307663 (P.I.: S. Neshveyev).

REFERENCES

[ChPr] V. Chari, A.N. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge university press, 1995.
[DCPr] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *Quantum groups*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1993).
[HeKo04] I. Heckenberger, S. Kolb, *The locally finite part of the dual coalgebra of quantized irreducible flag manifolds*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 89, no. 02 (2004): 457-484.
[HeKo06] I. Heckenberger, S. Kolb, *De Rham complex for quantized irreducible flag manifolds*, Journal of Algebra 305, no. 2 (2006): 704-741.
[Hum] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Vol. 29. Cambridge university press (1992).
[KlSc] A. U. Klimyk, K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum groups and their representations*, Vol. 552. Berlin: Springer, 1997.
[Krä04] U. Krähmer, *Dirac operators on quantum flag manifolds*, Letters in Mathematical Physics 67, no. 1 (2004): 49-59.
[KrTu13] U. Krähmer, M. Tucker-Simmons, *On the Dolbeault-Dirac Operator of Quantized Symmetric Spaces*, Transactions of the London Mathematical Society 2, no. 1 (2015): 33-56.
[LeSo91] S. Levendorskii, Y. Soibelman, *Algebras of functions on compact quantum groups, Schubert cells and quantum tori*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 139, no. 1 (1991): 141-170.
[Lus] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Springer Science & Business Media (2010).

[Mat15] M. Matassa, *Dolbeault-Dirac operators on quantum projective spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.01823 (2015).

[Pap94] P. Papi, *A characterization of a special ordering in a root system*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 120, no. 3 (1994): 661-665.

[StDi99] J. V. Stokman, M. S. Dijkhuizen, *Quantized flag manifolds and irreducible*-representations*, Communications in mathematical physics 203, no. 2 (1999): 297-324.

[ThYo09] H. Thomas, A. Yong, *A combinatorial rule for (co)minuscule Schubert calculus*, Advances in Mathematics 222, no. 2 (2009): 596-620.

[Xi99] N. Xi, *A commutation formula for root vectors in quantized enveloping algebras*, Pacific journal of mathematics 189, no. 1 (1999): 179-199.

[Zwi09] S. Zwicknagl, *R-matrix Poisson algebras and their deformations*, Advances in Mathematics 220, no. 1 (2009): 1-58.

E-mail address: marco.matassa@gmail.com, mmatassa@math.uio.no

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.B. 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway.